REMARKS

The Examiner rejected claims 25-30 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being unpatentable over Buffet et al. (U.S. Patent 6,631,502). Applicants believe the Examiner intended to reject claims 21-40.

In a telephone conversation with the Examiner on April 14, The Examiner stated he would reconsider the claims, if claims 21 and 31 were amended to make the nesting relationships of parent terrain and voltage islands more easily understood.

Applicants have therefore amended claims 21 and 31, to state "voltage islands denoted as V_1 and V_2 , said voltage island V_1 nested within said parent terrain V_0 and said voltage island V_2 nested within said voltage island V_1 " for claim 21 and "nesting a voltage island V_1 within said parent terrain V_0 and nesting a voltage island V_2 within said voltage island V_1 " for claim 31.

Applicant point out in FIGs. 1, 2, and 3 of Buffet et al., that while there are two voltage islands (V_1) 105 nested within a parent terrain (V_0) 120, there is no voltage island V_2 nested with either of the two voltage islands (V_1) 105 as required by Applicant's claim.

CONCLUSION

Based on the preceding arguments, Applicants respectfully believe that all pending claims and the entire application meet the acceptance criteria for allowance and therefore request favorable action. If Examiner believes that anything further would be helpful to place the application in better condition for allowance, Applicants invite the Examiner to contact the Applicants' representative at the telephone number listed below. The Director is hereby authorized to charge and/or credit Deposit Account 09-0456.

Respectfully submitted, FOR: Bednar et al.

Dated: 04/22/2005

BY: Jack P. Friedman

Reg. No. 44,688

FOR:

Anthony M. Palagonia Registration No.: 41, 237

3 Lear Jet Lane, Suite 201 Schmeiser, Olsen & Watts Latham, Previously Presented York 12110 (518) 220-1850 Agent Direct Dial Number: (802)-899-5460